UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

Yolanda Irving, et. al, *

*

Plaintiffs, *

* 5:22-cv-0068-BO

v.

*

City of Raleigh, et. al., *

*

Defendants. *

MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO SEU DEFENDANTS' & ABDULLAH'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY TO EMANCIPATE'S OPPOSITION TO CITY'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Plaintiff Emancipate NC respectfully responds in opposition to the SEU Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Reply To Emancipate's Opposition to City's Motion for a Protective Order (DE 159) and Defendant Abdullah's Motion for Leave to File Reply to Emancipate's Opposition to City's Motion for Protective Order (DE 161).

- 1. The individual plaintiffs filed this lawsuit for damages and injunction on February 22, 2022, including two claims for *Monell* liability against the City of Raleigh, related to an unconstitutional "No Knock" warrant entry on two private homes of innocent women and children. (DE 2).
- 2. On May 16, 2022, Emancipate NC joined the lawsuit as an organizational plaintiff, adding its claims for injunctive and declaratory relief against official capacity defendants to end the unconstitutional "No Knock" and "Quick

- Knock" warrant executions by the Raleigh Police Department because they violate the Fourth Amendment. These claims are found in "Claim Two." (DE 41.)
- 3. Emancipate NC does not have any claims against individual capacity defendants. It has not sued the Individual SEU Defendants and it has not sued Defendant Abdullah.
- 4. Emancipate NC has not deposed Abdullah; the individual plaintiffs have deposed Abdullah. See DE 161, page 4.
- 5. The SEU Defendants Defendants David Mead, Jesus Ortiz, Kyle Perrin, Michael Mollere, Kyle Thompson, Vincent Debonis, Daniel Twiddy, Thomas Webb, David McDonald, and David Garner – are individual capacity defendants. The SEU Defendants agree with this position. See D.E. 159-1 at page 3.
- 6. Emancipate NC's official capacity claims against Defendant Chief of Police
 Estella Patterson and Defendant City Manager Marchell Adams-David
 seeking declaratory and injunctive relief are functionally claims against the
 City of Raleigh.
- 7. The City of Raleigh has refused to participate in discovery on any on this claim during Phase I. *See* Motion for Protective Order, D.E. 153.
- 8. Emancipate NC, therefore, has been barred from taking discovery on its claim for relief because the City of Raleigh refuses to engage during Phase I

- and has filed two motions for protective orders. Therefore, Emancipate NC will not submit to discovery on that claim, absent a court order.
- 9. It would be inequitable for the parties to take discovery against Emancipate NC on its injunctive and declaratory claim when it cannot, itself, take discovery on the same. This is a simple "what is good for the goose is good for the gander" argument. See e.g., Stafford v. Bojangles Restaurants, Inc., 2021 WL 5024529 (W.D.N.C. 2021) (applying the principle regarding seeking discovery, "what is good for the goose is good for the gander"); Bates v. Jones, 131 F.3d 843, 861 (9th Cir. 1997) (applying the principle "[w]hat is good for the goose is good for the gander"); Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Legal and Prof. Pubs., Inc. v. Multistate Legal Studies, Inc., 26 F. 3d 948, 952 (9th Cir. 1994) (observing that "what is sauce for the gander must be sauce for the goose."); Baker v. Phoenix Insurance Company, 2014 WL 12563556 (W.D. Wa. 2014) ("But what is good for the goose is good for the gander."); Fleming v. Escort, 2015 WL 1823125 (D. Idaho, 2015) ("What is good for the goose's complaint should be good for the gander's answer.").

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Emancipate NC respectfully requests that the SEU Defendants' and Abdullah's Motions for Leave to file a Reply be denied, that the City's Motion for Protective Order be denied, and/or that any Protective Order issued include both offensive and defensive discovery on Claim Two injunctive and declaratory relief.

/s/Elizabeth G. Simpson

Elizabeth G. Simpson NC State Bar # 41596 Ian A. Mance NC State Bar # 46589 EMANCIPATE NC Post Office Box 309 Durham NC 27702 919-682-1149 elizabeth@emancipatenc.org ian@emancipatenc.org

/s/ Abraham Rubert-Schewel

Abraham Rubert-Schewel NC State Bar # 56863 TIN FULTON WALKER & OWEN, PLLC 119 E. Main Street Durham, NC 27701 919-451-9216 schewel@tinfulton.com

/s/ Emily D. Gladden

Emily D. Gladden NC State Bar # 49224 TIN FULTON WALKER & OWEN, PLLC 204 N. Person Street Raleigh, NC 27601 919-720-4201 egladden@tinfulton.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 23, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Dorothy Kibler
Amy Petty
City of Raleigh
P.O. Box 590
Raleigh, NC 27602
Dorothy.Kibler@raleighnc.gov
Amy.Petty@raleighnc.gov

Jason R. Benton
Daniel E. Peterson
Jessica R. Dixon
PARKER POWE ADAMS & BERNSTEIN LLP
620 S. Tryon St Suite 800
Charlotte, NC 28202
jasonbenton@parkerpoe.com
danielpeterson@parkerpoe.com
jessicadixon@parkerpoe.com

Norwood P. Blanchard, III Crossley McIntosh Collier Hanley & Edes, PLLC 5002 Randall Parkway Wilmington, NC 28403 norwood@cmclawfirm.com

Rodney E. Petty
rpetty@ymwlaw.com
Samuel G. Thompson, Jr.
bthompson@ymwlaw.com
Alayna M. Poole
apoole@ymwlaw.com
Yates, McLamb & Weyher, LLP
Post Office Box 2889
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Leslie C. Packer
Michelle A. Liguori
ELLIS & WINTERS LLP
P.O. Box 33550
Raleigh, NC 27363
leslie.packer@elliswinters.com
michelle.liguori@elliswinters.com

/s/ Elizabeth G. Simpson Elizabeth G. Simpson EMANCIPATE NC